

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Kanji UCHINO, et al.

Serial No. 09/048,026

Confirmation No. 3866

Filed: March 26, 1998

Group Art Unit: 2776

Examiner: Paula, C.

For: FORUM/MESSAGE BOARD RELEVANT DOCUMENT DISPLAY APPARATUS AND
METHOD (AS AMENDED)

RESPONSE

RECEIVED

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

SEP 21 2001

Sir:

Technology Center 2100

This is in response to the Office Action mailed May 7, 2001, and having a period for response set to expire on August 7, 2001. A Petition for a two-month extension of time, together with the requisite fee, is submitted herewith, making the period for response end on October 7, 2001.

In the May 7, 2001, Office Action, the Examiner noted that claims 1-31 were pending in the application and were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over the combination of U.S. Patents 5,943,669 to Numata; 5,905,863 to Knowles, et al.; and 5,933,599 to Nolan. In item 4 on page 2 of the Office Action, it was stated that claims 19 and 28-31 were rejected as unpatentable over Numata in view of Lemay et al. and Shima et al.; however, since items 3 and 5 state that the previous rejections are withdrawn, it is unclear whether this rejection of claims 19 and 28-31 was also intended to be rejected or whether the rejection over Numata, Lemay et al. and Shima et al. is being maintained. Due to the uncertainty, this rejection is not addressed herein. The arguments set forth in the February 15, 2001, Amendment still apply.

As noted in the first sentence of item 3 on page 2 of the Office Action, Numata was filed on November 21, 1997. In item 5 on page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner acknowledged the claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 included Japanese Patent Application Serial No. 09-242247 which was filed on September 8, 1997. A Verified English Translation of

RECEIVED
SEP 18 2001
Technology Center 2600

09/14/2001 WABRHM1 00000093 09040026
01 FC:116

Japanese Patent Application Serial No. 09-242247 is submitted herewith. As should be readily apparent from the shape of the drawings, the drawings of the '247 Japanese application correspond to Figs. 1-34 and 68 in the U.S. application. The description of these drawings supports claims 1-18. Thus, claims 1-18 have an effective filing date earlier than Numata which is therefore not prior art. For this reason, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-18 is respectfully requested.

The only thing that was cited from Numata in the rejection of claims 19-31 that was different from what was cited to reject claims 1-18 was that Numata discloses a "document retrieval device . . . [with] a document extraction section" at column 5, lines 30-41. It is submitted that regardless of whether these components are supported by the priority document for the subject application, there is nothing that has been cited in Numata which is relevant only to claims 19-31. Therefore, Numata apparently is not prior art with respect to claims 19-31 either. For the above reasons, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 19-31 as unpatentable over any combination using Numata is respectfully requested.

It is submitted that the prior art references cited by the Examiner do not teach or suggest the features of the present claimed invention. Therefore, reconsideration of the claims and an early Notice of Allowance are earnestly solicited.

If any further fees are required in connection with the filing of this Response, please charge same to our Deposit Account 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 9/13/01

By: Richard A. Gollhofer
Richard A. Gollhofer
Registration No. 31,106

700 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 434-1500

SAC 2632 9/14
9/21/01

REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL <small>U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE</small> <small>SEP 13 2001</small>		Attorney Docket No.	826.1482
		Application Number	09/048,026
		Filing Date	March 26, 1998
		First Named Inventor	Kanji UCHINO, et al.
		Group Art Unit	2776
AMOUNT ENCLOSED	390.00	Examiner Name	C. Paula

Fee Calculation (fees effective 10/01/00)					
CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	31	- 31 =	0	X \$ 18.00 =	\$ 0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	11	- 11 =	0	X \$ 80.00 =	0.00
Since an Official Action set an original due date of August 7, 2001, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$390); 3 months (\$890); 4 months (\$1,390); 5 months (\$1,890));					390.00
If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$310)					
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)					
Total of above Calculations =					\$ 390.00
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)					
TOTAL FEES DUE =					\$ 390.00

- (1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".
 (2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".
 (4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".
 (5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

RECEIVED

SEP 21 2001

METHOD OF PAYMENT					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check enclosed as payment. <input type="checkbox"/> Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below. <input type="checkbox"/> No payment is enclosed and no charges to the Deposit Account are authorized at this time (unless specifically required to obtain a filing date).					Technology Center 2100

GENERAL AUTHORIZATION					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to:					
Deposit Account No. 19-3935 Deposit Account Name STAAS & HALSEY LLP					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC § 120 (e.g., continuations/divisionals/CIPs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.					

SUBMITTED BY: STAAS & HALSEY LLP			
Typed Name	Richard A. Gollhofer	Reg. No.	31,106
Signature	<i>Richard A. Gollhofer</i>	Date	9/13/01